UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,175	06/19/2003	Jeremy Ridgeway	03-0195	7615
PETER SCOTT	7590 10/09/200	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT LSI LOGIC CORPORATION, M/S D-106 1551 MCCARTHY BLVD.			AHMED, ENAM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILPITAS, CA	95035	2112		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/601,175	RIDGEWAY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
	/ •	

	ENAM AHMED	2112	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with an appearance. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT »);	E below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		otou olaliilo.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17,19 and 21-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•	
	. doco 1401 place the application in	Solidition for anowall	oo boodase.
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/JACQUES H LOUIS-JACQUES/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2100			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment after final filed on 9/22/08, changed the scope of the invention due to the fact that the claim language for claim 1 has been amended to recite "to yield a plurality of CRC calculation values of an n-bit CRC result and "a switch configured for selectively passing one CRC calculation values included in the plurality of CRC calculation values, the passed CRC calculation value being calculated by one of said plurality of CRC calculation blocks". Wherein before amended the claim language read "blocks performing a CRC calculation in parallel to yield a value of an n-bit CRC result" and "a switch for selectively passing one of the CRC calculation values calculated by one of said plurality of CRC calculation blocks". Further, claim 14 now also recites "selecting one of: the first CRC value and the second CRC value as the CRC output value" and "wherein the selection of one of: the first CRC value and the second CRC value is accomplished by a switch selection signal". This amendment changes the scope of the invention, and would require further search and consideration.